

# McHenry County Continuum of Care to End Homelessness

## Full Board Agenda – August 18, 2022 at 9:00 A.M.



To attend virtually: <https://meet.goto.com/CDDivision/fullboard>

To attend by phone: 1-669-224-3412      Access code: 116-042-629

1.0 Call to order

2.0 Roll Call and Guest Introductions

3.0 Public Comment Period

4.0 Presentation

4.1 1<sup>st</sup> Way – Judy Cocks

4.2 Community Resource Days – Gina Zinck and Brandon Kyker

5.0 Consent Agenda Items

5.1 Approval of the July 21, 2022 CoC Full Board meeting minutes

6.0 Consent Agenda – For Discussion Items

6.1 Approval of the August 4, 2022 Steering Council Committee meeting minutes

6.3 Approval of the July 18, 2022 Community Awareness Committee meeting minutes

7.0 Old Business

7.1 HMIS / Coordinated Entry / Built-for-Zero

7.2 Gaps and Systems Updates

7.3 Special NOFO

8.0 New Business

8.1 Steering Council Meeting Date Change: September 1 moved to September 8 for Competition Scoring

8.2 CoC Competition

8.3 VOTE: CoC Prioritization Plan

8.4 VOTE: CoC Reallocation Plan

8.5 VOTE: CoC Ranking Rubric

9.0 Open Forum and Member Announcements

## 10.0 Motion to Adjourn



# McHenry County Continuum of Care to End Homelessness MEETING MINUTES

**MEETING DESCRIPTION:** CoC Board Meeting

**DATE/TIME/LOCATION:** July 21, 2022, 9:00 am; via Videoconference **ATTENDANCE:**

Agency	Voting Member	Present?	Alternate Voting Member	Present?
4-C:Community Coordinated Child Care	Nicole Davis	X		
Advocate Good Shepherd	Suzanne Martinez	X		
AID	Alejandra Gutierrez	X	Gabrielle Kitchell	
Catholic Charities	Amber Emmert		Catherine Weightman-Moore	
CCCS	Donna Jackowiak	X	Donna Rasmussen	
FHPC	Suzanne Hoban	X	Jaret Ross	
HOS	Debbie DeGraw		Liz Heneks	X
Hope Takes Action	Bill Meath	X	n/a	
Housing Authority	Sue Rose	X	Kim Ulbrich	
Independence Health	Shannon Guzick	X	Marie Barnhart	
Lake McHenry ROE	James "Jim" Menzer	X	Matt Tabar	
Lovell FHCC	Lillie Prince		Kya Hays	
Makrograde	Andrew Stuckey			
MC Comm Devt Div	Brandon Kyker	X	Hans Mach	
McHenry Savings Bank	Kathrine Violett	X		
McHenry Township	Deborah Macrito	X	Gary Barla	
Mental Health Board	Terry Braune		Karin Frisk	
New Directions	Bobby Gattone	X		
New Life Transitions	Charles Sprague	X	David Johnson	
Options and Advocacy	Noelle Dodge	X	Elyse Sereyka	
Pioneer Center	Carrie Freund		Gina Zinck	X
Prairie State Legal	Arlo Harmon		Ryan Sugas	
Refuge for Women	Meredith Hodge	X	Sandi Moore	
Restoration America	Anna Hill		Chris Samuels	
Ridgefield-CL Pres Church	Michael Rugh		Sarah Kelewae	
Salvation Army, MC	Mercent Smith	X	Nancy Rivera	
Senior Care Vol Ntwork	Sarah Schrempf		Erica Snooks	
Supportive Housing Providers Association (SHPA)	David Esposito		Merredith Montgomery	
Thresholds	Kim McCoy	X	Aaron Neal	X
Turning Point	Mike Neumann		Nancy Erickson	X
VAC MC			Mike Iwanicki	
Veterans Path to Hope	Laura Franz	X	Angela Wood-Zuzevich	
Warp Corps	Andrew Turner		Clay Mutert	
Willow CL	Julie Davis		Tim Seyler	
Woodstock Food Pantry	Virginia Peschke	X	Jan Parker	
Workforce Netwrk	Jake Rohn	X	Elizabeth Del Rio	
Community Member:	Rich Ring			
Community Member:	Nancy Portincaso			

Non-Voting Members Present: Joseph Davis, MCCD; Megan Bennett, MCHA; Charlie Sullivan, Live4Lali; Tom Riley, PC; Susan Keller, PC; Kristin Miller

Non-Members Present:

---

**NEXT MEETING DATE/TIME/LOCATION:** August 18, 2022 9:00 am **Videoconference**

**1.0 CALL TO ORDER** ~ K Violett called the meeting to order at 9:01 am.

**2.0 ROLL CALL AND GUEST INTRODUCTIONS**



# McHenry County Continuum of Care to End Homelessness MEETING MINUTES

## 3.0 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

Kim Roush introduced Elisha Bushman who is the new Aftercare/Outreach case manager at Pioneer/PADS.

Angela Felton is new from O&A, replacing Noelle Dodge.

## 4.0 PRESENTATION

4.1 Prairie State Legal Services ~ Maya Ziv-El. She will work in the Fair Housing arena. Note that there is a new law effective in January related to vouchers for Section 8/other funding which should open possibilities (ie landlords cannot discriminate based on income source). K Violett raised the question of documentation to support a discrimination claim and M Ziv-El stated everything should be documented in writing ~ take screen shots of texts, emails, etc. There is a statute of limitations so people should get to PSLS as soon as possible. PSLS does have testers. S Rose stated we have many landlords not wanting to take Section 8 due to the burden of inspections, etc. N Davis asked about the no-kids piece for seniors over 55 who find themselves with their grandchildren due to parents' death, imprisonment, etc. M Ziv-El said she has seen this happen but will investigate this further.

## 5.0 CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS

### 5.1 Approval of 6/22/2022 CoC Full Board meeting minutes

Motion by V Peschke to approve the consent agenda item, seconded by D Macrito, all in favor so motion carried.

## 6.0 CONSENT AGENDA-FOR DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

Not applicable

## 7.0 OLD BUSINESS

### 7.1 HMIS/Coordinated Entry/Built-for-Zero

G Zinck reported that CE is busy; there are increased number of vets who are reservists and don't have as many resources available through VA-funded programs. She also stated that Lovell has been sharing their data in HMIS, which will help us reach Functional Zero. The number of vets on the By-Name List are decreasing. PSH ~ no new intakes for Pioneer due to staffing crisis. Thresholds made a referral, and an assessment is being completed for this individual to get into housing. G Zinck invited all to CE meetings to observe the process.

Built for Zero is starting a new season as our contract ended. We are seeking a few key roles to be filled: Outreach Lead Position and VA Lead Position are open. We are given a coach and that helps guide us. G Zinck will send out more information. B Kyker stated the contract costs \$10,000 per year for 5 years and we need more participation to boost the effectiveness of this initiative.

J Davis reported that HMIS training is continuing, and he is open for questions/needs. Well Sky just released their new training, and he can send links to it until the in-person.

## 8.0 NEW BUSINESS

### 8.1 Special NOFO Announcement

B Kyker reported the recording and slides from the Special NOFO are posted. We need to



# McHenry County Continuum of Care to End Homelessness

## MEETING MINUTES

make a decision as to our desire as a CoC to apply for this. B Kyker stated if this is more intended for urban areas, we may not have enough points to qualify, and Chicago may sweep the funds based on their high need. Most communities are not applying but are focused on improving their regular CoC competition scores. This topic will be placed on Steering Council agenda for discussion in August.

### 8.2 Medical Community Outreach/Collaborations

B Kyker stated he has received a few MOUs between agencies and medical providers.

### 8.3 Gaps and Systems Updates

S Rose stated the lack of affordable housing continues to be an issue. She shared that the Dental Program is an example of a gap in service that has been addressed.

L Heneks shared the PSH lack of available spots and that we need more. We also need more SROs. Many benefits to these. K Violett asked if, at the County Board level, is there any committee/group that looks at overall housing inventory; B Kyker stated there isn't a specific committee/group at this time. S Rose brought up a model done in other states, a combination between an SRO and a motel that is operated week-to-week. The first floor is social services, which helps residents access services.

K Violett brought up a conversation she had with K Ulbrich about publicly recognizing landlords that work well with our needs. S Rose brought up the double-edged sword of this and it can be discussed further. L Heneks said K Ulbrich has already started working on this, collecting success stories around landlord engagement. Other communities are increasing inventories based on these partnerships.

### 9.0 OPEN FORUM and MEMBER ANNOUNCEMENTS

VPH housing program manager Angela Wood-Zuzevich will be attending the National Conference on Ending Homelessness in Washington, DC. One conference is about ending use of VISPDAT and L Franz will share the information she brings back. Other members reinforced that it is not perceived as fair, so HUD is saying other communities should come up with their own questions, which is a vulnerability. G Zinck said CE is looking at the assessment tool used by VPH – SSVF program.

V Pecshke reminded us that the Woodstock food pantry has plenty of food available.

S Rose reminded us that there is mortgage assistance available for 4 months' worth of past-due payments. Dental program has funds. S Rose will send information to B Kyker to send to CoC.

### 11.0 MOTION TO ADJOURN

Motion made by B Kyker and seconded by D Macrito, approved by all, and meeting was adjourned by K Violett at 10:24 am.

Minutes respectfully submitted by Laura Franz, Secretary

## **Aug. 4, 2022 - CoC Steering Council minutes**

### **Attendees:**

Kathrine Violetta – Present  
Elizabeth Heneks – Present  
Laura Franz – Present  
Bill Meath – Present  
Kim Ulbrich – Present  
Debbie DeGraw – Absent

Brandon Kyker – Present  
Hans Mach – Present  
Joseph Davis – Present  
Gina Zinck – Present  
Terry Braune – Absent  
Sue Rose – Present

Mike Neumann – Present  
Anneliese Thurston – Absent  
Sam Tenuto – Absent  
Carrie Freund – Absent  
Julie Davis – Present  
Suzanne Martinez – Present

### **1.0 Welcome and Intros**

Kathrine Violetta called the meeting to order at 2:01 p.m.

### **2.0 Review and Approval 7-7-22 steering council minutes**

Gina Zinck motioned to approve. Sue Rose seconded. The motion carried.

### **3.0 Public Comment**

None.

### **4.0 Old Business**

#### **4.1 HMIS/Coordinated Entry/BFZ Updates**

Gina Zinck said one assessment is in process for a scattered site unit. Pioneer is looking to offer some referrals to group homes. BFZ just went through a new learning cycle. Brandon Kyker and Gina are looking to conduct a presentation to the CoC in the near future. The CE workgroup meeting took place recently. This included developing assessment questions for the VI-SPDAT. Joe Davis said he developed a short guy for adding clients and referring them to coordinated entry. He encouraged new staff to be forwarded to himself for training, if needed.

#### **4.2 Gaps and System Updates**

Gina Zinck said SROs are a major gap. The Housing and Services Committee meeting recently went well and SROs do seem like a way to go as a starting point. Bill Meath asked if there was any profit to potentially make for a public/private partnership to work. Sue Rose said she thinks it's an excellent idea. There were some noted challenges with HUD funding for SROs, however.

Kim Ulbrich said Illinois passed a new law that states a source of income can't be used as an excuse not to rent to a person.

#### **4.3 Vote: Special NOFO**

Brandon Kyker said the Special NOFO is due in October. The regular CoC application process is due by the end of the week. Brandon said the Special NOFO would be difficult to receive because we wouldn't score well on it compared to other communities. Hans Mach said he can try to compare the special NOFO to the standard application. Kathrine Violetta said she's not sure the CoC is at a place

where we can vote on this. Bill Meath said there will be a need for a special meeting because there are time constraints.

Bill Meath made a motion to hold off on the vote until more information is available. Laura Franz seconded. All voted yes with the exception of Brandon Kyker, who abstained.

There was ongoing conversations about who might apply for the funds, since it is only available for new projects. Brandon Kyker will develop an LOI process.

## **5.0 New Business**

### **5.1 CoC Competition**

Brandon Kyker said the NOFO dropped Monday. Bill Meath is meeting with the ranking committee soon. Some bonuses are available. We're between \$900,000 and \$1.1 million. Applications are due Aug. 25.

Steering Council for next month with take place Sept. 8 rather than Sept. 1.

### **5.2 Annual Meeting**

Kathrine Violetta indicated both she and Laura Franz's terms are up. She said they are trying to find successors. The vote for new presidents will take place in September. If anybody has an interest or desire, please let Kathrine or Laura know.

### **6.0 Review and Approval of Aug. 18, 2022 full CoC board meeting agenda**

Brandon Kyker noted some changes. Sue Rose motioned to approve the agenda. Laura Franz seconded. The motion carried.

### **7.0 Member Announcements**

Kathrine Violetta has been seeing an uptick of homeless in McHenry and has been trying to share information to get them what they need.

### **8.0 Adjourn**

Bill Meath motioned to adjourn. Brandon Kyker seconded. The meeting adjourned 3:11 p.m.

# McHenry County Continuum of Care to End Homelessness

Community Awareness Committee – July 18, 2022 at 11:00 A.M.



To attend virtually: <https://meet.goto.com/CDDivision/community>

To attend by phone: 1-646-749-3122    Access code: 6596-903-821

Meeting called to order by Brandon Kyker at

Present: Brandon Kyker, Suzanne Martinez, Julie Davis, Gina Zinck

1.0 Welcome and Introductions

2.0 Public Comments

- No public comments.

3.0 Old Business

3.1 Neighbors in Need – Forum Topic / Presentations

- Julie stated that Community Resource Days usually concludes around 2pm. Brandon was considering having the presentations and band portion begin after the Community Resource Days services concluded. Brandon will begin reaching out to agencies to see who would be represented and if they would like to present any information on their agency.
- Suzanne will reach out to police explorers and fire department to see who would be available to assist with the flow of traffic.
- Julie will begin asking the guests that attend Community Resource Days if they would like to volunteer and assist with the flow of traffic on event day.
- Seating of about 20 chairs in front of the band to allow for people who need to sit, to sit, but also allow for movement during the event and not have a static congregation of people anywhere.
- Brandon and Julie will sit down with the Willow team to discuss where to put trash cans, bathrooms, sound check, etc.
- Brandon reached out to the Art Graphics teacher at MCC to see if their students would like to design a logo for the Neighbors in Need event.



### 3.2 Community Resource Days

- Julie stated that they are seeing a lot of new people at the Community Resource Days. Laundry and haircuts and other services were taking longer to complete each Friday so Community Resource Days expanded to an every Friday format to allow for guests to have time to complete all of the services they wish to partake in. Collaboration has exponentially increased amongst agencies.
- Julie and Gina will work on a presentation about Community Resource Days to give the full board on August 18. Julie will not be able to be at the meeting. Gina said she would present the material.

### 4.0 New Business

### 5.0 Member Announcements

### 6.0 Adjournment

- Julie motions for adjournment. Gina seconded the motion. The meeting adjourned at 12:13pm.



**McHenry County Continuum of Care to End Homelessness**  
***"Working together to end homelessness in McHenry County"***

c/o The McHenry County Department of Planning and Development  
2200 North Seminary Avenue, Woodstock, IL 60098  
815-334-4560

**2021 2022 Proposed Continuum of Care Prioritization and Ranking Policy**

1. To be eligible for prioritizing and ranking, all projects – new and renewal – must pass all facets of the application evaluation process including:
  - a. All projects must meet all HUD eligibility criteria established in the ~~2021~~ **FY22** NOFO
  - b. All projects must be HEARTH & CoC regulation compliant
  - c. All projects must meet the application deadlines, as set by the McHenry County Continuum of Care to End Homelessness
  - d. All projects must meet funding criteria **and thresholds** outlined in the ~~FY2021~~ **FY22** NOFO
2. As determined by the ~~FY21~~ **FY22** CoC NOFO, the CoC Planning project will not be included in the ranking. CoC planning funds will not detract from direct service funds.
3. As determined by the ~~FY21~~ **FY22** CoC NOFO, the CoC Unified Funding Agency (UFA) Planning project will not be included in the ranking. CoC UFA funds will not detract from direct service funds.
4. Ranking within tiers will be based on scores from the McHenry County Continuum of Care to End Homelessness which includes the scoring rubric and project performance for renewal projects. The Ranking Workgroup/Finance Committee will also consider which projects will be most competitive in the CoC funding process based on HUD's HEARTH Act measures and HUD's policy priorities as outlined in the ~~FY2021~~ **FY22** NOFO.
5. The project scoring tool emphasizes projects that promote the HEARTH Act goals of ending homelessness and HUD's policy priorities as outlined in the ~~FY21~~ **FY22** NOFO. Measures that reflect HUD's expectations regarding project performance review, as outlined in the ~~FY21~~ **FY22** NOFO, are considered. Annual Performance Reports are used to measure project outcomes and performance for renewal projects. Proposed measures for new and bonus projects will be evaluated to determine eligibility and ranking. This will include:

- a. System Performance Measures

- b. Housing Stability
- c. Increasing or Maintaining Income
- d. Length of Stay
- e. Access to and enrollment in mainstream benefits
- f. Housing First model of service and housing delivery
- g. The extent to which the project serves sub-populations
- h. Cost effectiveness
- i. Severity of Service needs of clients served during program year
- j. Utilization Rates of beds
- k. Spend-down of funding, if a renewal
- l. Attendance at Full CoC meetings
- m. Equity and accessibility of project
- n. Other measures as determined by the McHenry County CoC

6. All new project applications for new, first-time renewal, or bonus permanent housing dollars (PSH, RRH and TH-RRH) will be evaluated by the CoC Ranking Committee using a limited evaluation tool that is similar to the one used for renewal projects, but will be based on proposed numbers of clients served (meaning there will not be a score for past performance as there will not be past performance). A project presentation ~~will~~ **may be used** glean the organization's capacity to carry out the project based on the proposed figures and outcomes. New project applications for permanent housing bonus dollars will be most competitive if they align with HUD policy priorities, demonstrate the organizational capacity to administer federal grant funds, are able to serve households or individuals effectively, demonstrate a clear understanding of best practices in the delivery of the program model (PSH, RRH and TH-RRH), and adopt a ~~housing first~~ **Housing First** model of service delivery.

7. All new or bonus permanent housing projects will be ranked competitively with renewal projects to ensure the most effective use of CoC resources allocated to McHenry County

8. Projects required by HEARTH & the CoC regulation will be ranked in Tier 1 because the primary funding source for these projects is HUD CoC funding. These projects shall include:

- a. HMIS – Homeless Management Information Systems Requirements
- b. Centralized or coordinated assessment/entry system

9. A proposed project serving domestic violence survivors in a capacity involving permanent housing outcomes will be awarded bonus points due to the prioritization of DV survivor projects in the ~~2021~~ **FY22** CoC NOFO.

10. If an organization with similar renewal project types is permitted to combine these projects together for streamlining purposes, the organization shall submit both renewal projects and a new combined project so as to determine where in the ranking the project would fall. **The combined project shall be ranked as the higher of the two scores.**

11. Organizations must submit all project applications in E-snaps by 4:00pm CDT ~~Monday, October 4, 2021~~ **Thursday, August 25, 2022**. Failure to meet this timeline will result in a rejection of the project application.



**McHenry County Continuum of Care to End Homelessness**  
***“Working together to end homelessness in McHenry County”***

c/o The McHenry County Department of Planning and Development  
2200 North Seminary Avenue, Woodstock, IL 60098  
815-334-4560

## **I. Policy Statement: CoC Reallocation Process**

A. Under the Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing Act of 2009 (HEARTH), the HUD reallocation process allows Continuums of Care (CoC) to fund new projects by transferring all or part of funds from any existing CoC grant which is eligible for renewal into a new project.

B. Under HEARTH CoC Regulations and the ~~FY2021~~ **FY22** Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO), a reallocation project can be funded if all of the following apply:

- The reallocation project is for permanent housing (permanent supportive housing for chronically homeless, rapid re-housing for families or individuals coming from the streets or shelters), dedicated HMIS project, SSO project for coordinated entry for DV survivors, construction or rehabilitation of a facility to expand existing eligible CoC activities (if permitted by HUD), or the newer Transitional Housing-Rapid Rehousing hybrid project.
- The reallocation project meets all of HUD’s threshold requirements established in the ~~2021~~ **FY22** NOFO.

C. The McHenry County Continuum of Care to End Homelessness will reallocate funds granted through HEARTH CoC programs as needed to more effectively confront homelessness, help households achieve stable housing and improve CoC performance. Namely, McHenry County is seeking to significantly reduce the population of homeless individuals as quickly as possible.

D. CoC program funds may be reallocated either by a voluntary process or by a competitive system transformation process.

## **II. Competitive Reallocation**

A. The annual NOFO will be considered an annual competition with no promise of continual funding.

B. If a project is deemed to be low performing by scoring poorly in the project scoring process and/or having unsatisfactory project performance outcomes, the CoC **Finance Committee**

~~Ranking Workgroup~~ and the CoC reserve the right to reallocate funding and make it available through a competitive process.

C. The CoC Lead Agency and ~~Ranking Workgroup~~ **Finance Committee** may initiate a competitive system transformation process due to a renewal grantee ending a CoC program.

D. As part of the pre-bid process for renewal projects, applicants are required to supply cursory information, data, and outcomes so that the CoC Lead Agency and/or the Ranking Workgroup can determine if each renewal project will meet the minimum threshold requirements.

E. If the CoC Lead Agency determines that a renewal project does not meet minimum threshold requirements, **the Finance Committee and/or the** Ranking Workgroup will be notified and will determine whether or not funds should be released for a competitive reallocation process. The following process will be followed:

1. Due to the time constraints involved in grant applications, voting may be handled via conference call, e-mail or web site communication.
2. Any member of the Ranking Workgroup, **Finance Committee**, or CoC receiving funding through the CoC programs shall recuse themselves from the ~~voting deliberation~~ process.
3. The CoC and its Ranking Workgroup / **Finance Committee** will make the final decision whether or not to reject the renewal application for any agency that does not meet the minimum threshold requirements.
4. All Ranking Workgroup and CoC deliberations will be documented in meeting minutes.
5. If any renewal project's application is rejected, the funds that were allocated to that project will be released as new funds and agencies will have the opportunity to be allocated said funds. Any organization submitting a project concept or application that is rejected will be notified in writing by the date set by the CoC Lead Agency/Collaborative Applicant.

### **III. Voluntary Reallocation Process**

A. CoC grantees are able to self-nominate to voluntarily reallocate CoC-funded renewal funds to create new projects. Depending on the HUD NOFO in effect, this may result in a higher score and the ability for the organization to receive a reallocation.

B. A grantee seeking the ability to reallocate funding through the Voluntary grantee-self-nominating process must do so in accordance with the timeline set by the CoC Lead agency in

that year's application process and complete a new project application by the deadline set by the CoC Lead agency in order to be eligible.

C. The CoC Ranking Workgroup will review the applications and make determinations regarding the acceptance and ranking of the proposed project.

D. If the new project meets HUD's CoC funding priorities, local needs, and is an eligible reallocation project type under the NOFO, the applicant will be given the opportunity to be considered under the scoring mechanisms for the new project.

E. If the new project does not meet HUD's CoC priorities, local needs, is an ineligible project type, or does not request the full grant amount awarded to the existing project, the funds either in total or in part not covered by the request, will be available for other eligible projects under the Continuum of Care funding process.

F. First-time renewal projects (Year 2) are treated as new applications due to the lack of outcomes on the first year of award. The Ranking Workgroup shall make every effort to strongly consider a renewal to commit to a second year of funding in order to obtain outcome measures for the project, and determine its efficacy.

#### **IV. New and/or Bonus Funding**

A. Projects, whether new or renewal, shall be scored in a capacity that allows for new or bonus projects to compete with renewals on a level basis in McHenry County's efforts to most effectively confront homelessness. If an organization voluntarily agrees to forfeit its award, and is considered a Permanent Housing Project, the funding for this project population type will strongly be considered for funding to an alternate agency capable of carrying out similar activities.



PY 2021-2022 McHenry County Continuum of Care to End Homelessness Renewal Ranking Evaluation Tool

Organization/Agency:	Score	Comments
<b>Questions denoted with (N/B) will apply to all projects including new and old bonus projects.</b>		
<b>Priority 1: Ending homelessness for all persons (Up to 170 points; N/B = 110 points)</b>		
<b>(N/B) Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity: Up to 20 Points.</b>		
The percentage score of the average quality determination by Ranking Workgroup members. If no plan is present then 0 points are awarded.		
<b>(N/B) Program Equity: Up to 20 points.</b>		
Ending homelessness for all persons requires thorough evaluation and planning to ensure equitable access. The percentage score of the average quality determination by Ranking Workgroup members. If no plan is present then 0 points are awarded.		
<b>(N/B) Percentage of Veterans expected to be served: Up to 10 points.</b>		
Score is Percent(%) * 10.		
<b>(N/B) Percentage of Chronically Homeless Dedicated Beds. Up to 30 points.</b>		
30 points: 85% - 100%, 15 points: 65% - 84.99%, 0 points for less than 65%. For renewals this will be the percentage of clients that were chronically homeless at entry.		
<b>(N/B) Percentage of "Households" with Children under 18: Up to 10 points.</b>		
For new projects the proposed <u>households</u> with children under 18 * 10 points. For renewal projects, the percentage of <u>households</u> served in the most recent APR * 10 points.		
<b>(N/B) Percentage of DV Survivor Households: Up to 20 points.</b>		
For new projects, the proposed DV survivor households * 20 points. For renewal projects, the percentage of DV households served * 20 points in the most recent APR.		
<b>Rates of Disabled Clients: Up to 20 points.</b>		
The percentage of clients with a disabling condition in the most recent APR.		
<b>Attendance at Weekly Coordinated Entry Referral Meeting: Up to 20 points.</b>		
20 points for 50% or more attendance. 0 points for less than 50% attendance.		
<b>Attendance at Monthly Quarterly Coordinated Entry Work Group Meeting: Up to 20 points.</b>		
20 points for 50% or more attendance. 0 points for less than 50% attendance.		
<b>Points Awarded</b>	0	
<b>Priority 2: Use a Housing First approach (Up to 40 points; N/B = 40 points)</b>		
<b>(N/B) Percentage of Proposed Beds Following Housing First Approach: Up to 40 points.</b>		
Housing First: People experiencing homelessness are provided with permanent housing or shelter and with a few to no treatment preconditions, behavioral contingencies, or barriers.		
<b>Points Awarded</b>	0	
<b>Priority 3: Reduce unsheltered homelessness (Up to 25 points; N/B = 25 points)</b>		
<b>(N/B) High reduction in the number of homeless individuals system wide: Up to 25 points.</b>		
A project that can serve 70 or more clients will receive full points. A project that can serve 50-69 clients will receive 10 points.		
<b>Points Awarded</b>	0	
<b>Priority 4: Improve system performance (Up to 425 points; N/B = 280 points)</b>		
<b>Returned funds: Up to 5 points.</b>		
Deduct 3 points if any funds have been returned in the past 3 years.		
<b>(N/B) Financial capacity measure or log: Up to 25 points.</b>		
The percentage of this score * 25 points.		
<b>(N/B) Leverage resources: Up to 5 points.</b>		
The percentage of leverage of the total CoC dollars requested * 5 points.		
<b>(N/B) Match: Pass or Fail. Up to 200 points.</b>		
Having at least a 25% match to CoC project dollars excluding Leasing.		
<b>(N/B) Cost Analysis: Pass or Fail. Up to 10 points.</b>		
If project is below CoC average, points are awarded. For PSH and TH-RRH, this is determined by cost per bed using CoC dollars requested and for rapid re-housing, cost is determined by annual cost per proposed household.		
<b>APR Submission: Up to 10 points.</b>		
If APR was submitted by the deadline full points are awarded. If APR was not submitted by deadline but includes an explanation as to why and approved by HUD, 5 points will be awarded. If no explanation, then 0 points are awarded.		



<b>(N/B) Project Monitoring and Financial Audit: Up to 20 points.</b>		
Project monitored with no findings or project not monitored and evidence of a clean financial audit 20 points. Project monitored with no findings or project not monitored but no evidence of a clean financial audit 15 points. Findings with corrective actions implemented or in progress or implementation plan 10 points. Findings with no corrective actions implemented or in progress or implementation plan 5 points.		
<b>(N/B) Organization Attendance at full CoC meeting (between <del>10/1/2020 – 9/30/2021</del> 10/1/2021 – 9/30/2022): Up to 20 points.</b>		
90% or higher is full points, otherwise is a percentage of available points: (Number of meeting attendance / 12) * 20 points.		
<b>Policies and Procedures to ensure Data Quality: Up to 15 points.</b>		
Pass or Fail.		
<b>HMIS Data Quality: Up to 40 points.</b>		
Data Quality score for project * 40 points.		
<b>Recidivism: Up to 10 points.</b>		
A review of the past 2 years of data to determine how many households recidivated (we will be reviewing <del>10/1/2019 – 9/30/2021</del> 10/1/2020 – 9/30/2022). 2 points will be deducted from each household. This may result in a negative score.		
<b>Bed Utilization Rates for Renewals: Up to 25 points.</b>		
This is an average of utilization for the most recent completed year. 25 points: Greater than 60%, 22.5 points: 50% - 59.99%, 17.5 points: 40% - 49.99%, 10 points: 30% - 39.99%, 5 points: 20% - 20.99%, 2.5 points: 10% - 19.99%. 0 points: Less than 10%.		
<b>For PSH projects: Up to 40 points.</b>		
The percentage of clients who maintained or exited into Permanent Housing. The score at or below 60% = 0 points.		
<b>For TH-RRH: Up to 40 points.</b>		
The percentage of clients who exited or maintained Permanent Housing. The score at or below 60% = 0 points.		
<b>For RRH projects: Up to 40 points.</b>		
The percentage of clients who exited or maintained Permanent Housing. The score at or below 60% = 0 points.		
<b>5 bonus points.</b> For any of the three above (PSH, TH-RRH, RRH), a percentage of 92% or more will receive 5 bonus points for these measures, the most recently completed APR will be reviewed.		
<b>Increased Overall Income: Up to 40 points as follows for PSH, based on the last completed APR:</b>		
40 points: 95% - 100%, 32 points: 90% - 94.55%, 28 points: 85 - 89.99%, 24 points: 80% - 84.99%, 20 points: 75% - 79.99%, 16 points: 70% - 74.99%, 12 points: 60% - 69.99%. NO POINTS UNDER 60% for total income.		
<b>Increased Income as follows for TH-RRH/RRH, based on the last completed APR: Up to 40 points. Sectioned off into overall and employment income, separately, as 2 x 20 point measures.</b>		
20 points: 95% – 100%, 16 points: 90% - 94.99%, 14 points: 85% - 89.99%, 12 points: 80% - 84.99%, 10 points: 75% - 79.99%, 8 points: 70% - 74.99%, 6 points: 60% - 69.99%. NO POINTS UNDER 60% for total income.		
<b>Increased Income as follows for RRH Projects, based on the last completed APR: Up to 40 points. Sectioned off into overall and employment income, separately, as 2 x 20 point measures.</b>		
20 points: 95% - 100%, 18 points: 85% - 94.99%, 14 points: 75% - 84.99%, 12 points: 65 – 74.99%, 10 points: 55% - 64.99%, 8 points: 50% - 54.99%, 6 points: 40 – 49.99%. NO POINTS UNDER 40%.		
<b>Increased Income as follows for TH Projects, based on the last completed APR: Up to 40 points. Sectioned off into overall and employment income, separately, as 2 x 20 point measures.</b>		
20 points: 95% - 100%, 18 points: 85% - 94.99%, 14 points: 75% - 84.99%, 12 points: 65 – 74.99%, 10 points: 55% - 64.99%, 8 points: 50% - 54.99%, 6 points: 40 – 49.99%. NO POINTS UNDER 40%.		
<b>Points Awarded</b>	0	
<b>Priority 5: Partner with housing, health, and service agencies (Up to 80 points, N/B = 60 points)</b>		
<b>Enrollment and Participation in Mainstream Benefits, based on the last completed APR: Up to 20 points.</b>		
Percentage of enrollment and participate of program clients * 20 points.		
<b>(N/B) At least five memoranda or collaborative agreements memoranda, contracts, and/or collaborative agreements with other providers and agencies specifically to assist homeless clients: Up to 60 points.</b>		

12 points for every agreement in effect by <del>10/4/2021</del> 10/4/2022.		
<b>Points Awarded</b>		0
<b>Priority 6: Advance racial equity (Up to 20 points, N/B = 20 points)</b>		
<b>(N/B) Quality of Affirmatively Furthering Housing Marketing Plan: Up to 20 points.</b>		
The percentage score of the average quality determination by Ranking Workgroup members. If no plan is present then 0 points are awarded.		
<b>Points Awarded</b>		0
<b>Priority 7: Involve people with lived experience (Up to 40 points, N/B = 40 points)</b>		
<b>(N/B) Consumer Participation: Up to 20 points.</b>		
If the organization has at least two people on its main governing board with lived experience, then full points are awarded. 15 points are awarded with only one member is present. 0 points are awarded if zero members are present.		
<b>(N/B) Consumer Participation and Strategy: Up to 20 30 points.</b>		
If the organization has a structured client participation Workgroup to assist with the overall strategy of the organization, full points are awarded. In order to get full points, agendas and minutes from these groups must be presented.		
<b>Points Awarded</b>		0
<b>Bonus Points: Up to 20 points.</b>		
For a project that leverages new affordable housing units as a result of this funding allocation.		
<b>Bonus Points Awarded</b>		
<b>Bonus Points: Up to 20 points.</b>		
For a proposed project with at least 50% of the households having an adult member with a substance abuse disorder and serving households with a pragmatic Housing First approach. In order to receive these points, provide a one page explanation of how the Housing First approach will be implemented for this population.		
<b>Bonus Points Awarded</b>		
<b>Bonus Points: Up to 10 points.</b>		
If the agency's financial capacity measure score is one sigma above the mean score of all the applicant organizations.		
<b>Bonus Points Awarded</b>		
<b>Total Points Awarded:</b>		<b>0</b>